

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,663 01/22/2004		01/22/2004	John E. Tadych	ABR-32112	5153	
22202	7590	05/09/2006		EXAMINER		
WHYTE H	IRSCHB	OECK DUDEK S	FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P			
555 EAST V	VELLS ST	REET				
SUITE 1900)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MILWAUKEE. WI 53202				1762	· .	

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s))		
		10/762,663	TADYCH, JC	OHN E.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		William P. Fletcher	· III 1762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondent	ce address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS CON R 1.136(a). In no event, however, incod will apply and will expire SI atute, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.		
Status	, ,					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) \(\sum \) 7 Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final wance except for form	al matters, prosecution as t	to the merits is		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicat 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction and request that any objection to	drawn from considerate or election requirement niner. accepted or b) □ object the drawing(s) be held in the crection is required if the	cted to by the Examiner. abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(drawing(s) is objected to. See 3	37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the a	tached Office Action or for	III P10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	/ ₀₈₎ 5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application ther:	n (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The petition to make special, filed 05/21/2004 and approved 09/26/2005, is noted.
- 2. No preliminary amendment has been located in this application file record. The examiner presumes that no preliminary amendment has been filed.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 & 2, drawn to a masking compound, classified in class 106, subclass 2.
 - II. Claim 3, drawn to a process for cleaning a surface, classified in class 427, subclass 154.
 - III. Claim 4, drawn to a process for masking a surface, classified in class 427, subclass 259 or 282.
 - IV. Claims 5 & 6, drawn to a method of isolating/dividing a space, classified in class156, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I and inventions II-IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, with respect to inventions II and III, the masking compound may be used in another process, such as one in which the compound is not removed from the

Application/Control Number: 10/762,663

Art Unit: 1762

surface. With respect to invention IV, the process as claimed can be practiced with any masking

Page 3

compound, including ones with compositions different from that recited in claim 1.

6. Invention II and inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be

shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs,

modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different

inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together. Further, invention III has a different

design, mode of operation, and effect; namely, invention II cleans a surface while invention III

masks a surface and yields a non-uniform coating. Invention IV functions entirely differently

than invention II in that invention IV adheres a sheet to a substrate and is not removed, while

invention II cleans a surface and the masking compound is removed.

7. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they

are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation,

and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention IV functions entirely

differently than invention III in that invention IV adheres a sheet to a substrate and is not

removed, while invention III masks a surface and the masking compound is removed.

8. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

9. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the

inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1762

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 11. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably 12. distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Saturday, 0700h to 1730h.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Phillip Fletcher III
Patent Examiner (FSA), USPTO

Page 5

Art Unit 1762

Alexandria, VA